

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/846,374	TALAGALA ET AL.	
	Examiner John P Trimmings	Art Unit 2133	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) John P Trimmings.

(3) _____.

(2) B. Noel Kivilin.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 December 2004

Time: 11:00 AM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112(1)

Claims discussed:

1-4,6-19,21-34,36-49 and 51-60

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed the withdrawal of the examiner's 112(1) rejections in view of a change to the independent claims. The following changes to claims 1, 16, 31 and 46 were agreed to:

Claim 1 line 5 is amended to recite, "in response to detection of a data integrity error in at least one vertical redundant relationship of the plurality of data blocks".

Claim 16 line 8 is amended to recite, "such that in response to a detection of a data integrity error in at least one vertical redundant relationship of the plurality of data blocks"".

Claim 31 line 3 is amended to recite, "detecting a data integrity error in at least one of a plurality of data blocks having a vertical redundant relationship;", and line 4 being deleted.

Claim 46 line 9 is amended to recite, "is configured such that in response to detection of a data integrity error in at least one of the first type of redundant relationships in the plurality of data blocks,".

And in view of the withdrawal of the 112(1) rejections, and changes to Claims 1, 16, 31 and 46, the examiner agreed to an allowance of all claims (1-4,6-19,21-34,36-49 and 51-60)..